

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STAITES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address. COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS 9.0. Byx 1450 Alexaddia. Virginia 22313-1450 alexaddia. Virginia 22313-1450 alexaddia.

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/700,722	11/03/2003	Sunder Rathnavelu Raj	002489.P041	6031	
25670 7590 01/04/2007 WILLIAM L. PARADICE, III		EXAMINER			
	CREEK BOULEVARD		PYO, MC	PYO, MONICA M	
SUITE 201 SAN JOSE, CA 95129			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SAN JOSE, CA	93129		2161		
SHORTENED STATUTORY	PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVER	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MON	2475	01/04/2007	PAI	PER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/700,722	RAJ, SUNDER RATHNAVELU		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Monica M. Pyo	2161		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timused and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04 Oc</u>	<u>ctober 2006</u> .			
2a) <u></u> □	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	ion of Claims				
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-29,31-33 and 45-49 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-29,31-33 and 45-49 is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Applicati	ion Papers				
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on <u>03 November 2003</u> is/at Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	re: a) $\square$ accepted or b) $\square$ object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachmen	rt(s)				
2) Notice 3) Information	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date 7/05,11/03, 11/03.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate		

Art Unit: 2161

### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This communication is responsive to the Election/Restriction filed on 10/4/2006.

Applicant elected Group I, claims 1-29, 31-33 and 45-49. Claims 1-29, 31-33 and 45-49 are present for examination and claims 1, 23, 31 and 45 are independent claims. Claims 1-49 are currently pending.

- 2. The Group II, claims 30, 34-44 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a non-elected.
- 3. Claims 1-29, 31-33 and 45-49 are rejected.

## Information Disclosure Statement

4. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 11/3/2003, 11/20/2003 and 7/18/2003 was filed and considered by the examiner.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 1-4, 6-8, 11-14, 19-22, 31 and 45-47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2006/0259508 by Sikdar et al. (hereinafter Sikdar) in view of U.S. Patent No. 7,134,143 issued to Stellenberg et al. (hereinafter Stellenberg).

Regarding claim 1, Sikdar discloses a method, comprising:

Art Unit: 2161

- A). receiving a text string having a plurality of characters, as a search string with characters (Sikdar: pg. 2, [0017]; pg. 3, [0033]); and
- B). performing of a database wherein the state machine comprises a ternary content addressable memory (TCAM) and wherein the performing comprises comparing a state and one of the plurality of characters with contents of a state field and a character field, respectively, stored in the TCAM, as a TCAM and comparing between the state table and a character (Sikdar: pg. 1, [0010]; pg. 6, [0069]).

Sikdar does not explicitly disclose:

B). an unanchored search of a stored patterns matching one or more characters of the text string using a state machine,

However, Stellenberg discloses:

B). an unanchored search of a stored patterns matching one or more characters of the text string using a state machine, as an unanchored search of pattern matching (Stellenberg: col. 2, lns. 41-45; col. 9, lns. 36-62).

It would have been obvious to a person with ordinary skill n the art at the time of invention to apply the unanchored/anchored searching of Stellenberg in the character patterns for matching sequences of Sikdar. Skilled artisan would have been motivated to combine the Stellenberg's teaching of the unanchored/anchored searching in the Sikdar's teaching of character pattern matching sequence to enhance reducing the field of library patterns under consideration (Stellenberg: col. 2, lns. 59-67).

Art Unit: 2161

Regarding claim 2, Sikdar and Stellenberg disclose the method wherein the state is a next state (Sikdar: pg. 2, [0029]; fig. 4).

Regarding claim 3, Sikdar and Stellenberg disclose the method further comprising receiving the next state from an associated memory (Sikdar: pg. 2, [0028, 0029]) and (Stellenberg: col. 9, lns. 36-62)...

Regarding claim 4, Sikdar and Stellenberg disclose the method wherein the state is an idle state (Stellenberg: pg. 3, [0043, 0044]; fig. 8).

Regarding claim 6, Sikdar and Stellenberg disclose the method wherein performing the unanchored search comprises:

traversing the state machine with the text string, wherein the state machine is traversed with one of the plurality of characters at a time (Stellenberg: col. 9, lns. 36-63); and

transitioning a state of the state machine based on a stored next state (Sikdar: pg. 1, [0009]; pg. 2, [0029]).

Regarding claim 7, Sikdar and Stellenberg disclose the method further comprising encoding the next state in a lookup table (Sikdar: pg. 1, [0010]; pg. 2, [0029]) and (Stellenberg: col. 4, lns. 7-17; col. 20, lns. 36-49).

Art Unit: 2161

Regarding claim 8, Sikdar and Stellenberg disclose the method wherein the plurality of characters comprises valid and invalid characters and wherein encoding comprises encoding the next state in the state machine if a valid character is received in the text string (Stellenberg: col. 4, lns. 7-17; col. 5, lns. 35-54; col. 9, lns. 36-63; col. 20, lns. 36-49).

Regarding claim 9, Sikdar and Stellenberg disclose the method wherein transitioning further comprises transitioning the state machine to a default state if an invalid character is received in the text string (Sikdar: pg. 1, [0006, 0009]; pg. 2, [0029]).

Regarding claim 10, Sikdar and Stellenberg disclose the method wherein the transitioning is stopped when an invalid character is received (Sikdar: pg. 4, [0051-0052]).

Regarding claim 11, Sikdar and Stellenberg disclose the method wherein performing the unanchored search comprises searching for an exact match of one of the stored patterns (Stellenberg: col. 5, lns. 356-54; col. 9, lns. 36-63).

Regarding claim 12, Sikdar and Stellenberg disclose the method wherein performing the unanchored search comprises searching for an inexact match of one of the stored patterns (Stellenberg: col. 5, lns. 35-54; col. 9, lns. 36-63).

Regarding claims 13, Sikdar and Stellenberg disclose the method wherein the TCAM has a first width and the text string has a second width greater than the first width of the TCAM (Sikdar: pg. 6, [0069]) and (Stellenberg: col. 21, lns. 56-col. 22, lns. 3).

Regarding claim 14, Sikdar and Stellenberg disclose the method wherein each of the plurality of characters has a case, and wherein performing the unanchored search further comprises performing the unanchored search insensitive to the case of one or more of the plurality of characters (Sikdear: col. 9, lns. 36-62) and (Stellenberg: col. 9, lns. 36-62; col. 17, lns. 31-39).

Regarding claim 19, Sikdar and Stellenberg disclose the method wherein performing the unanchored search comprises:

comparing, in parallel, N number of the characters with the content of the state field (Stellenberg: col. 4, lns. 7-36).

Regarding claim 20, Sikdar and Stellenberg disclose the method wherein the performing further comprises converging all branches of the state machine, for a given stored pattern, to a single next state when a first number of the characters are matched to the contents of a state field to all state transitions of the branches (Sikdar: pg. 1, [0009]; pg. 2, lns. 0029]; pg. 3, [0035]).

Art Unit: 2161

Regarding claim 21, Sikdar and Stellenberg disclose the method wherein the single next state is an earlier possible next state for at least one of the branches and wherein the converging comprises

transitioning at least one of the branches to the earlier possible next state (Sikdar: pg. 2, 0013]; pg. 3, [0035, 0044]).

Regarding claim 22, Sikdar and Stellenberg disclose the method further comprising: storing the characters in a first-in-first-out (FIFO) storage element having a plurality of positions (Sikdar: pg. 3, [0042]; pg. 5, [0060]) and (Stellenberg: col. 4, lns. 7-17);

positioning a read pointer at a first position (Sikdar: pg. 3, [0042]; and

adjusting the read pointer to a second position by an amount equal to N minus 1 (Sikdar: pg. 3, [0042]; pg. 4, [0053]) and (Stellenberg: col. 8, lns. 64-67).

Regarding claim 31, Sikdar discloses a method, comprising:

- A). receiving a text string having a plurality of characters, as a search string with characters (Sikdar: pg. 2, [0017]; pg. 3, [0033]); and
- B). performing a search of a database of a stored pattern matching one or more characters of the text string using a state machine, wherein the state machine comprises a ternary content addressable memory (TCAM) and wherein the performing comprises comparing a state and one of the plurality of characters with the contents of a state field and a character field, respectively, stored in the TCAM, as a TCAM and comparing between the state table and a character (Sikdar: pg. 1, [0010]; pg. 6, [0069]).

Art Unit: 2161

Sikdar does not explicitly disclose:

B). wherein each of the plurality of characters has a case, and wherein the search is performed insensitive to the case.

However, Stellenberg discloses:

B). wherein each of the plurality of characters has a case, and wherein the search is performed insensitive to the case, as a case insensitive data (Stellenberg: col. 9, lns. 36-62; col. 17, lns. 31-39).

It would have been obvious to a person with ordinary skill n the art at the time of invention to apply the unanchored/anchored searching on case insensitive data of Stellenberg in the character patterns for matching sequences of Sikdar. Skilled artisan would have been motivated to combine the Stellenberg's teaching of the unanchored/anchored searching in the Sikdar's teaching of character pattern matching sequence to enhance reducing the field of library patterns under consideration (Stellenberg: col. 2, lns. 59-67).

Regarding claim 45, Sikdar discloses a string search apparatus, comprising:

B). a pattern and state database including a ternary content addressable memory (TCAM) coupled to an associated memory, wherein the pattern and state database is operable to perform in the TCAM and associated memory, as a TCAM and comparing between the state table and a character (Sikdar: pg. 1, [0010]; pg. 6, [0069]).

Sikdar does not explicitly disclose:

- A). control circuitry to receive a text string having a plurality of characters; and
- B). an unanchored search of the plurality of characters with patterns stored.

However, Stellenberg discloses:

A). control circuitry to receive a text string having a plurality of characters, as a class identifier (CID) functions (Stellenberg: col. 17, lns. 55-col. 18, lns. 8); and

B). an unanchored search of the plurality of characters with patterns stored, as an unanchored search of pattern matching (Stellenberg: col. 9, lns. 36-62).

It would have been obvious to a person with ordinary skill n the art at the time of invention to apply the unanchored/anchored searching of Stellenberg in the character patterns for matching sequences of Sikdar. Skilled artisan would have been motivated to combine the Stellenberg's teaching of the unanchored/anchored searching in the Sikdar's teaching of character pattern matching sequence to enhance reducing the field of library patterns under consideration (Stellenberg: col. 2, lns. 59-67).

Regarding claim 46, Sikdar and Stellenberg disclose the apparatus further comprising a processor coupled to the pattern and state database (Stellenberg: col. 17, lns. 55-col. 18, lns. 8).

Regarding claim 47, Sikdar and Stellenberg disclose the apparatus wherein the control circuitry comprises:

a first-in-first-out (FIFO) storage element (Sikdar: pg. 5, [0060]); and a register coupled to the FIFO storage element and the TCAM (Sikdar: pg. 5, [0060]; pg. 6, [0069]).

Art Unit: 2161

7. Claims 5 and 49 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sikdar in view of Stellenberg, and further in view of U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2004/0177319 by Horn (hereinafter Horn).

Regarding claims 5 and 49, Sikdar and Stellenberg disclose the method wherein the TCAM implements.

Sikdar and Stellenberg do not disclose:

an Aho-Corasick algorithm.

However, Horn discloses:

an Aho-Corasick algorithm (Horn: pg. 14, [0227]).

It would have been obvious to a person with ordinary skill n the art at the time of invention to apply the Aho-Corasick algorithm of Horn in the unanchored/anchored searching of Stellenberg, and in the character patterns for matching sequences of Sikdar. Skilled artisan would have been motivated to combine the Horn's Aho-Corasick algorithm in the Stellenberg's teaching of the unanchored/anchored searching, and in the Sikdar's teaching of character pattern matching sequence to perform an efficient text search (Horn: pg. 1, [0004]).

8. Claims 15-16 and 32-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sikdar in view of Stellenberg, and further in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,497,488 issued to Akizawa et al. (hereinafter Akizawa).

Regarding claims 15 and 32, Sikdar and Stellenberg disclose the method wherein the text string is encoded in a format having a first plurality of bits, wherein one bit of the first plurality of bits corresponds to the case, wherein the contents of the state field has a second plurality of bits and wherein performing the search insensitive to the case comprises (Sikdar col. 9, lns. 36-62) and (Stellenberg: col. 9, lns. 36-62; col. 17, lns. 31-39; col. 20, lns. 36-49; col. 21, lns. 30-55):

to the case (Stellenberg: col. 17, lns. 31-39); and

comparing the first plurality of bits with the second plurality of bits (Stellenberg: col. 19, lns. 3-20).

Sikdar and Stellenberg do not disclose:

masking out the one bit corresponding.

However, Akizawa discloses:

masking out the one bit corresponding (Akizawa: col. 7, lns. 20-35).

It would have been obvious to a person with ordinary skill n the art at the time of invention to apply the Akizawa's teaching of masking each bit of data in the unanchored/anchored searching of Stellenberg, and in the character patterns for matching sequences of Sikdar. Skilled artisan would have been motivated to combine the mask registration of Akizawa in the Stellenberg's teaching of the unanchored/anchored searching, and in the Sikdar's teaching of character pattern matching sequence to search of text data in a high-speed and to make a data in units of byte (Akizawa: col. 1, lns. 32-43).

Art Unit: 2161

Regarding claims 16 and 33, Sikdar and Stellenberg and Akizawa disclose the method wherein performing the search insensitive to the case further comprises transforming the characters of the text string from a first code to a second code, the second code having a bit unused in the comparing (Stellenberg: col. 16, lns. 51-67; col. 17, lns. 31-39).

9. Claims 17-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sikdar in view of Stellenberg as applied to claims 1-4, 6-8, 11-14, 19-22, 31 and 45-47 above, and further in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,785,677 issued to Fritchman (hereinafter Fritchman).

Regarding claim 17, Sikdar and Stellenberg disclose the method wherein the text string has zero or more wildcard characters, zero or more prefix characters preceding the wildcard characters and zero or more suffix characters succeeding the wildcard characters, and wherein performing the unanchored search comprises:

searching the database for a first pattern matching (Sikdar: pg. 1, [0010]; pg. 6, [0069]); and

searching the database for a second pattern matching (Sikdar: pg. 1, [0010]; pg. 6, [0069].

the prefix characters and the suffix characters.

Sikdar and Stellenberg do not explicitly disclose:

However, Fritchman discloses:

the prefix characters and the suffix characters (Fritchman: col. 5, lns. 51-53 and 64-67).

It would have been obvious to a person with ordinary skill n the art at the time of invention to apply the prefix, suffix and the wildcard character of Fritchman in the

unanchored/anchored searching of Stellenberg, and in the character patterns for matching sequences of Sikdar. Skilled artisan would have been motivated to combine the Fritchman's string matching algorithm using the prefix, suffix and the wildcard character in the Stellenberg's teaching of the unanchored/anchored searching, and in the Sikdar's teaching of character pattern matching sequence to improve performance of executing pattern matching queries (Fritchman: col. 1, lns. 8-29).

Regarding claim 18, Sikdar and Stellenberg and Fritchman disclose the method wherein performing the unanchored search further comprises creating a count that equals a number of the suffix characters plus a number of the wildcard characters (Stellenberg: col. 2, lns. 41-45; col. 9, lns. 36-62) and (Fritchman: col. 5, lns. 51-53 and 64-67).

10. Claims 23-24 and 28-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sikdar in view of Fritchman..

Regarding claim 23, Sikdar disclose a method, comprising:

- A). receiving a text string, as a search string with characters (Sikdar: pg. 2, [0017]; pg. 3, [0033]);
- B). performing a first search on a ternary content addressable memory (TCAM) for, as a TCAM and comparing between the state table and a character (Sikdar: pg. 1, [0010]; pg. 6, [0069]); and

Art Unit: 2161

C). performing a second search of the TCAM for, as a TCAM and comparing between the state table and a character (Sikdar: pg. 1, [0010]; pg. 6, [0069]).

Sikdar does not explicitly disclose:

- A). having a plurality of characters including a first number of prefix characters, a second number of wildcard characters succeeding the prefix characters, and a third number of suffix characters succeeding the wildcard characters
  - B). a first stored pattern matching the prefix characters,
  - C). a second stored pattern matching the suffix characters

    However, Fritchman discloses:
- A). having a plurality of characters including a first number of prefix characters, a second number of wildcard characters succeeding the prefix characters, and a third number of suffix characters succeeding the wildcard characters, as a prefix, a wildcard and a suffix character in a string (Fritchman: col. 7, lns. 65-col. 8, lns. 37).
- B). a first stored pattern matching the prefix characters, as a prefix character in a string (Fritchman: col. 8, lns. 38-51).
  - C). a second stored pattern matching the suffix characters, as a suffix character in a string (Fritchman: col. 8, lns. 25-37).

It would have been obvious to a person with ordinary skill n the art at the time of invention to apply the prefix, suffix and the wildcard character of Fritchman in the character patterns for matching sequences of Sikdar. Skilled artisan would have been motivated to combine the Fritchman's string matching algorithm using the prefix, suffix and the wildcard

Art Unit: 2161

character in the Sikdar's teaching of character pattern matching sequence to improve performance of executing pattern matching queries (Fritchman: col. 1, lns. 8-29).

Regarding claim 24, Sikdar and Fritchman disclose the method further comprising creating a count that equals a number of the suffix characters plus a number of the wildcard characters (Fritchman: col. 8, lns. 30-67).

Regarding claim 28, Sikdar and Fritchman disclose the method further comprising: returning a match result when the first stored pattern matches the prefix (Fritchman: col. 3, lns. 35-63; col. 5, lns. 21-22)

characters, the second stored pattern matches the suffix characters, and second number of wildcard characters is fixed (Fritchman: col. 3, lns. 35-63).

Regarding claim 29, Sikdar and Fritchman disclose the method further comprising: storing a count value that equals a number of the suffix characters plus the fixed second number of the wildcard characters (Sikdar: pg. 1, [0010]; pg. 6, [0069]) and (Fritchman: col. 3, lns. 35-63); and

maintaining a count of incoming characters of the text string after receiving the prefix characters (Fritchman: col. 5, lns. 21-22; col. 8, lns. 30-67); and

returning the match result when the maintained count is equal to the stored count value (Fritchman: col. 8, lns. 30-67).

Art Unit: 2161

11. Claims 25-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sikdar in view of Fritchman, and further in view of Stellenberg.

Regarding claim 25, Sikdar and Fritchman do not explicitly disclose the method wherein each of the plurality of characters has a case, and wherein the first and second searches are insensitive to the case.

However, Stellenberg discloses: the method wherein each of the plurality of characters has a case, and wherein the first and second searches are insensitive to the case (Stellenberg: col. 17, lns. 31-39).

It would have been obvious to a person with ordinary skill n the art at the time of invention to apply the unanchored/anchored searching of Stellenberg in the prefix, suffix and the wildcard character of Fritchman, and in the character patterns for matching sequences of Sikdar. Skilled artisan would have been motivated to combine combine the Stellenberg's teaching of the unanchored/anchored searching in the Fritchman's string matching algorithm using the prefix, suffix and the wildcard character, and in the Sikdar's teaching of character pattern matching sequence to enhance reducing the field of library patterns under consideration (Stellenberg: col. 2, lns. 59-67).

Regarding claims 26, Sikdar and Fritchman and Stellenberg disclose the method wherein the TCAM has a first width and the text string has a second width greater than the first width (Sikdar: pg. 6, [0069]) and (Stellenberg: col. 21, lns. 56-col. 22, lns. 3).

Regarding claim 27, Sikdar and Fritchman and Stellenberg disclose the method further comprising:

returning a match result when the first stored pattern matches the prefix characters, the second stored pattern matches the suffix characters, and second number of wildcard characters is variable (Fritchman: col. 3, lns. 35-63; col. 8, lns. 30-67).

12. Claim 48 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sikdar in view of Stellenberg, and further in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,712,971 issued to Stanfill et al. (hereinafter Stanfill).

Regarding claim 48, Sikdar and Stellenberg disclose the apparatus wherein the control circuitry further comprises a circuit coupled to the FIFO storage element (Spikdar: pg. 2-3, [0031, 0032]; pg. 5, [0060]) and (Stellenberg: col. 17, lns. 55-col. 18, lns. 8).

Sikdar and Stellenberg do not explicitly disclose: a rollback

However, Stanfill discloses: a rollback (Stanfill: col. 10, lns. 55-67).

It would have been obvious to a person with ordinary skill n the art at the time of invention to apply the rollback method of Stanfill in the unanchored/anchored searching of Stellenberg, and in the character patterns for matching sequences of Sikdar. Skilled artisan would have been motivated to combine the Stanfill's teaching of the rollback command in the Stellenberg's teaching of the unanchored/anchored searching, and in the Sikdar's teaching of character pattern matching sequence to be able to undone the last operation (Stanfill: col. 4, lns. 10-26).

Art Unit: 2161

### Conclusion

13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Monica M. Pyo whose telephone number is 571-272-8192. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 6:30 - 3:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeffrey Gaffin can be reached on 571-272-4146. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Monica M Pyo Examiner Art Unit 2161

mp 12/24/06

> HOSAIN ALAM SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER